Energy, (relativistic) mass vs. rest mass $E = m c^2 \&$ applications

Triggered by questions after class last Tu:

Review of the γ factor - $T = \gamma T_0$ $L = L_0 / \gamma$ $m = \gamma m_0$

Revisiting biological clocks, aging, time travel, etc.

And now, what is it with $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{m} \mathbf{c}^2$, perhaps the most famous equation in all of physics? A deep idea, of crucial importance, very well confirmed & tested (experimentally), but also subtle & prone to mis-interpretations.

Hopefully you read 10.8 carefully.....

→ Ultimately it implies that E and m go hand in hand, are synonymous, <u>all E has m, and all m has E</u>! A surprising prediction of special relativity, no doubt.

→ Since E is (always!) conserved, m is (always!) conserved.
 But: that does <u>not</u> imply that m₀ is necessarily conserved – matter can be destroyed or created!
 Tons of examples (again) in subatomic particle physics:

 $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow 2\gamma$ ("pair annihilation" – matter destroyed!)

 γ (+ matter, i.e. in a detector) $\rightarrow e^- + e^+$ ("pair creation" – matter created, detection of high-E gammas)

 \rightarrow Careful with the word "mass"! <u>Distinguish m (inertia) from</u> <u>m₀ (rest mass, amount of matter, atoms) !</u>

Interesting consequences: $m(C) + m(O_2) \leftrightarrow m(CO_2)$?

For example, $C + O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + E_{thermal}$ clearly implies that CO_2 has <u>less</u> mass than $m_C + m_{O2}$, the difference being called the "<u>bindingenergy</u>" of CO_2 . A tiny amount relative to their rest masses!

By the same token, the H atom $(= p + e^{-})$ has <u>*less*</u> mass than the sum $m_p + m_e$, again by a very small amount, but easily measurable.

On the other hand, in *nuclear* processes, these "mass differences" are *not* tiny at all! That's the fuel of stars like our sun.....

(Time permitting:

a) "Einstein's Box" Gedankenexperiment;

b) $E_{total} = E_{kin} + E_0$ for a particle, ignoring other possible forms of E)

Note the interesting comments at the very end of ch. 10 on the modern view of the importance of *fields/forces/interactions*.
In particular that some of a composite object's mass must be due to internal force fields – fields that bind the constituents together. Extreme example: protons/neutrons and their constituents, the so-called "quarks" – explain.....

CONCEPT CHECK 11 In which of the following processes does the system's mass change? (a) A bullet that speeds up while moving down a gun barrel. (b) A rubber band that is being stretched around a package. (c) Two positively charged objects that are moved closer to each other and placed at rest. (d) An electron and an antielectron, at rest, that spontaneously annihilate each other.

<u>C.E. 46 - 48</u>

<u>Quiz # 88</u>: In the pair annihilation process $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow 2\gamma$ is

energy conserved? Is mass conserved? Is rest-mass conserved?

- (a) Yes Yes No
- (b) Yes Yes Yes
- (c) Yes No No
- (d) Yes No Yes
- (e) No Yes No

Problem 7: $m = E / c^2 = 90 J / 9 \times 10^{16} m^2/s^2 = 10^{-15} kg (!)$

 \rightarrow Please make sure you can follow the solution of problem 11.

<u>Quiz # 89</u>: Consider two mousetraps that are identical except that one of them is set, and the other not.

- (a) The two have exactly the same mass.
- (b) The one that is set is ever so slightly more massive.
- (c) The one that is not set is ever so slightly more massive.
- (d) None of the above.

- <u>Quiz # 90</u>: Relative to you an electron moves with 99% of c, at which speed the relativistic γ factor is 7. Let's compare the electron's rest energy E₀ with its total energy E:
 - (a) $E = 7 E_0$, and difference between the two energies is $E_{kinetic}$.
 - (b) $E = E_0$, and therefore the difference is zero.
 - (c) $E = 7 E_0$, and the difference is $E_{thermal}$.
 - (d) $E_0 = 7$ E, and the difference is $E_{kinetic}$.
 - (e) $E = 7 E_0$, and the difference is nuclear energy.
- Let's do Problem 8, 1 GW nuclear power plant, how much mass converted into E in one day? A: ~1 g(ram)

Quiz # 91: You might recall that a proton is about 2000 times as massive (in terms of rest mass, of course!) as an electron. How fast must an e⁻ travel to appear as massive as a proton at rest?
(a) About 86% of c.
(b) About 50% of c.
(c) Impossible.
(d) Extremely relativistic, >99% of c.
(e) About 20% of c.